arXiv:0812.1641v1 [math.MG] 9 Dec 2008

ON ASYMPTOTIC DIMENSION AND A PROPERTY OF NAGATA

J. HIGES AND A. MITRA

Abstract. In this note we prove that every metric space (X, d) of asymptotic dimmension at most n is coarsely equivalent to a metric space (Y, D) that satisfies the following property of Nagata:

For every $x, y_1, \cdots, y_{n+2} \in Y$ *there exist* $i, j \in \{1, \cdots, n+2\}$ *with* $i \neq j$, *such that* $D(y_i, y_j) \leq D(x, y_i)$.

This solves problem 1400 of [1].

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Main theorem 2
References 3

1. Introduction

Nagata introduced two properties to characterize metric spaces with finite topological dimension (see [5], [6] and [7]). Such properties are generalizations to higher dimensions of the notion of ultrametric space. The definition of the properties are the following ones:

Definition 1.1. A metric space (X, d) is said to satisfy the property $(N1)_n$ if for every $r > 0$ and every $x, y_1, \cdots, y_{n+2} \in X$ such that $d(y_i, B(x, r)) < 2 \cdot r$ then there exists $i, j \in \{1, \cdots, n+2\}$ with $i \neq j$ such that $d(y_i, y_j) < 2 \cdot r$.

Definition 1.2. A metric space (X, d) is said to satisfy property $(N2)_n$ if for every $x, y_1, \cdots, y_{n+2} \in X$ there exists $i, j \in \{1, \cdots, n+2\}$ with $i \neq j$, such that $d(y_i, y_j) \leq d(x, y_i)$.

In [3] Dranishnikov and Zarichnyi showed that every proper metric space (X, d) of asymptotic dimension at most n is coarsely equivalent to a proper metric space that satisfies $(N1)_n$. So a natural question is if the same statement is true for the second property. Such problem appeared in [1] as problem 1400. In this paper we solve it for general metric spaces using a technique of [2].

Date: December 7, 2008.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 54F45, 54C55, Secondary: 54E35, 18B30, 20H15.

The first named author is supported by grant 2004-2494 from Ministerio Educacion y Ciencia, Spain and project MEC, MTM2006-0825. He thanks University of Tennessee for hospitality. Special thanks to J. Dydak and N. Brodskyi for helpful suggestions.

2. Main theorem

The notion of asymptotic dimension was introduced by Gromov in [4] and it has been the focus of intense research in recent years. To give a definition we need to recall that a family of subsets $\mathcal{U}$ of a metric space (X, d) is said to be *r-disjoint* if for every two diferent $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $V \in \mathcal{U}$ then $d(U, V) > r$.

Definition 2.1. A metric space (X, d) is said to be of asymptotic dimension at most n ($asdim(X, d) \leq n$) if for every $r > 0$ there exists a uniformly bounded covering $\mathcal{U}$ of subsets of X such that $\mathcal{U}$ splits in a union of the form $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{U}^i$ where each $\mathcal{U}^i$ is an r-disjoint family of subsets.

To simplify we will say that a covering $\mathcal{U}$ of a metric space (X, d) has *Lebesgue number* at least r ($L(\mathcal{U}) \geq r$) if for every $x \in X$ the ball $B(x, r)$ is contained in one set of the covering. Next lemma characterizes asymptotic dimension in a nice way.

Lemma 2.2. *A metric space (X, d) is of asymptotic dimension at most n if and only if for every $r > 0$ there exists a uniformly bounded covering $\mathcal{U}$ where $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{U}^i$ such that each $\mathcal{U}^i$ is an r-disjoint family and the Lebesgue number of $\mathcal{U}$ is at least r.*

Proof. Only one implication is not trivial. Suppose $asdim(X, d) \leq n$ and let r be a positive number. As $asdim(X, d) \leq n$ there exists a uniformly bounded covering $\mathcal{V}' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{V}^i$ such that each $\mathcal{V}^i$ is a $3 \cdot r$-disjoint family. Let $\mathcal{U}^i$ be the family of subsets given by $\mathcal{U}^i = \{N(V, r) | V \in \mathcal{V}^i\}$ where $N(V, r) = \{x | d(x, V) < r\}$. Now if $U, U' \in \mathcal{U}^i$ are different elements of $\mathcal{U}^i$ then clearly $d(U, U') > r$. Therefore the family $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{U}^i$ is a covering of mesh at most $mesh(\mathcal{V}) + 2 \cdot r$ so it satisfies the conditions of the lemma. □

Next proposition is a version of proposition 3.6. of [3]. We give a proof to make the paper self-contained.

Proposition 2.3. *Let (X, d) be a metric space such that $asdim(X, d) \leq n$. Then there exist a sequence of uniformly bounded coverings $\{\mathcal{U}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and an increasing sequences of numbers $\{d_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that:*

1. *For every k $\mathcal{U}_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{U}_k^i$ and each $\mathcal{U}_k^i$ is a d_k-disjoint family.*
2. *$L(\mathcal{U}_k) \geq d_k$.*
3. *$d_{k+1} > 2 \cdot m_k$ where $m_k = mesh(\mathcal{U}_k)$.*
4. *For every i, k, l with $k < l$ and every $U \in \mathcal{U}_k^i, V \in \mathcal{U}_l^i$, if $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, then $U \subset V$.*

Proof. Let us construct the sequence by induction on k. For $k = 1$ the result is just an application of lemma 2.2 for $d_1 = 1$. Suppose we have a finite sequence of uniformly bounded coverings $\{\mathcal{U}_k\}_{k=1}^{t}$ and a finite sequence of numbers $\{d_k\}_{k=1}^{t}$ that satisfy properties (1)-(4). Let $d_{t+1} > 0$ be a positive number such that $d_{t+1} > 2 \cdot m_t$ and define $D_{t+1} = d_{t+1} + 2 \cdot m_t$. Hence by Lemma 2.2 there exists an uniformly bounded covering $\mathcal{V}_{t+1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{V}_{t+1}^i$ such that each $\mathcal{V}_{t+1}^i$ is D_{t+1}-disjoint and $L(\mathcal{V}_{t+1}) \geq D_{t+1}$. Now for every $i \in \{1, ..., n+1\}$ we define the family of subsets $\mathcal{U}_{t+1}^i = \{U_V | V \in \mathcal{V}_{t+1}^i\}$ where U_V is defined as the union of V with all the subsets $W \in \mathcal{U}_k^i$ with $k \in \{1, ..., t\}$ such that $W \cap V \neq \emptyset$. We claim that the covering given by $\mathcal{U}_{t+1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{U}_{t+1}$ satisfies the required conditions. Clearly the mesh of $\mathcal{U}_{t+1}$ is bounded by $2 \cdot m_t + mesh(\mathcal{V}_{t+1})$. Also we have $L(\mathcal{U}_{t+1}) \geq L(\mathcal{V}_{t+1}) \geq$

$D_{t+1} \geq d_{t+1}$. Let $i \in \{1, ..., n+1\}$ be a fix number. For every $U_V, U_W \in \mathcal{U}^i_{t+1}$ we have $d(U_V, U_W) > d(V, W) - 2 \cdot m_t = d_{t+1}$ so each $\mathcal{U}^i_{t+1}$ is d_{t+1}-disjoint. The unique property that we need to check is (4). Let k, l be two numbers such that $k < l \leq t+1$. If $l < t+1$ the fourth condition follows from the induction hypothesis. Let us suppose $l = t+1$. Let $W \in \mathcal{U}^i_k$ and $U_V \in \mathcal{U}^i_{t+1}$ such that $W \cap U_V \neq \emptyset$. This implies there exist an $s \leq t$ and a $V' \in \mathcal{U}^i_s$ such that $V' \cap V \neq \emptyset$ and $W \cap V' \neq \emptyset$. By the induction hypothesis the last codition implies $W \subset V'$ or $V' \subset W$. In both cases we can conclude $W \subset U_V$.

□

A map $f : (X, d_X) \to (Y, d_Y)$ between metric spaces is said to be a *coarse* map if for every $\delta > 0$ there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every two points $a, b \in X$ that satisfy $d_X(a, b) \leq \delta$ then $d_Y(f(a), f(b)) \leq \epsilon$. If there exist also a coarse map $g : (Y, d_Y) \to (X, d_X)$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $x \in X$ and every $y \in Y$, $d_X(x, g(f(x))) \leq C$ and $d_Y(y, f(g(y))) \leq C$ then f is said to be a *coarse equivalence* and the metric spaces X and Y are said to be *coarse equivalent.*

Theorem 2.4. *Every metric space (X, d) with asdim$X \leq n$ is coarsely equivalent to a metric space that satisfies property $(N2)_n$.*

Proof. Let $\{\mathcal{U}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of coverings as in proposition 2.3. For every x and y in X we define:

$$D(x, y) = \min\{k | \text{ there exists } U \in \mathcal{U}_k \text{ such that } x, y \in U\}$$

Notice that by the second and third properties of $\mathcal{U}_k$ we can easily deduce that for every $x, y, z \in X$:

$$D(x, y) \leq \max\{D(x, z), D(y, z)\} + 1$$

This implies (X, D) is a metric space. Moreover if $D(x, y) \leq \delta$ and k is the minimum natural number such that $\delta \leq k$ then $d(x, y) \leq m_k$. In a similar way let us asume $d(x, y) \leq \delta$. Let d_r be the minimum number of the sequence$\{d_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\delta \leq d_r$. We have $L(\mathcal{U}_r) > d_r$, this implies there exists an $U \in \mathcal{U}_r$ such that $x \in U$ and $y \in U$ what means $D(x, y) \leq r$. We have shown (X, D) is coarsely equivalent to (X, d).

Now let $y_1, \cdots, y_{n+2}$, $x \in X$ and define for every $i \in \{1, \cdots, n+2\}$ the number $r(i) = D(y_i, x)$. By definition of D we get that for every i there exists a $V_i \in \mathcal{U}_{r(i)}$ such that $y_i \in V_i$ and $x \in V_i$. For every i there exists $k(i)$ such that $V_i \in \mathcal{U}^{k(i)}_{r(i)}$. By the pigeon principle there are two i, j with $i \neq j$ such that $k(i) = k(j)$. As $x \in V_i \cap V_j$ by the fourth property of proposition 2.3 we conclude $V_i \subseteq V_j$ or $V_j \subseteq V_i$. The first case means $D(y_i, y_j) \leq D(x, y_j)$ and the second one means $D(y_j, y_i) \leq D(x, y_i)$. Therefore (X, D) satisfies $(N2)_n$. □

References

[1] T. Banakh, B.Bokalo, I. Guran, T. Radul, M. Zarichnyi *Problems from the Lviv topological seminar*, in Open problems in Topology II.(E. Pearl ed.) Elseiver, 2007. P. 655–667.

[2] N. Brodskiy, J. Dydak, J. Higes, A. Mitra, *Assouad-Nagata dimension via Lipschitz extensions*, to appear in Israel Journal of Mathematics.

[3] A. Dranishnikov, M. Zarichnyi, *Universal spaces for asymptotic dimension*, Topology Appl. **140** (2004), no.2-3, 203–225.

[4] M. Gromov, *Asymptotic invariants for infinite groups*, in Geometric Group Theory, vol. 2, 1–295, G.Niblo and M.Roller, eds., Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[5] J. Nagata, *Note on dimension theory for metric spaces*, Fund Math. **45** (1958), 143-181.

[6] J. Nagata, *On a special metric characterizing a metric space of dim* $\leq n$, Proc. Japan Acad. **39** (1963), 278-282.

[7] J. Nagata, *On a special metric and dimension*, Fund Math. **55** (1964), 181-194.

Departamento de Geometría y Topología, Facultad de CC.Matemáticas. Universidad Complutense de Madrid. Madrid, 28040 Spain
E-mail address: `josemhiges@yahoo.es`

University of South Florida, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, USA
E-mail address: `atish@stpt.usf.edu`